

# Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	240-242 Croome Road Croom - bringing deferred land into Shellharbour LEP 2013		
Proposal Summary ;	The proposal will bring Lot 9 DP 1038941 (240-242) Croome Road, Croom into the Shellharbour LEP 2013 (SLEP 2013). This land was deferred when SLEP 2013 was made.		
	The site is primarily zoned 1(rl) Rural Landscape under the Shellharbour Rural LEP 2004, with a small area of 2e Residential zoned under the Shellharbour LEP 2000. The proposal is generally a conversion from the current zones to equivalent SLEP 2013 zones. The majority of the site will be zoned RU2 Rural Landscape, a small area containing EECs will be zoned E3 Environmental Management, and a small area will be zoned R2 Low Density Residential.		
PP Number :	PP_2016_SHELL_003_00	Dop File No :	16/10994
posal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	17-Aug-2016	LGA covered :	Shellharbour
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Shellharbour City Council
State Electorate :	KIAMA	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping		
ocation Details			
Street : 240	0-242		
Suburb : Cro	oome Road City :	Croom	Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lot	t 9 DP 1038941		
OoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Louise Myler		
Contact Number :	0242249463		
Contact Email :	louise.myler@planning.nsw.go	v.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	lan Rankine		
Contact Number :	0242216111		
Contact Email :	ian.rankine@shellharbour.nsw.	gov.au	
OoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Graham Towers		

and Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A R	elease Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	с	consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :	D	ate of Release	
Area of Release (Ha)	R	ype of Release (eg Residential / mployment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots		lo. of Dwellings where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0 N	lo of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with	No		
registered lobbyists?			
It Voc. commont :			
Supporting notes		ating anony under the Ch	
Supporting notes	The proposal generally converts exi and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add stage and has resolved to retain the	ndard Instrument zones. T Irther investigations into t ditional residential develo	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this
Supporting notes	and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add	ndard Instrument zones. T Irther investigations into t ditional residential develo	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes :	and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add stage and has resolved to retain the	ndard Instrument zones. T Irther investigations into t ditional residential develo	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob	and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add stage and has resolved to retain the t	ndard Instrument zones. T Irther investigations into t ditional residential develo	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : Equacy Assessment Statement of the ob	and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add stage and has resolved to retain the <b>jectives - s55(2)(a)</b> ojectives provided? <b>Yes</b>	ndard Instrument zones. T Irther investigations into t ditional residential develop existing development co	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this ntrols.
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes :	and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add stage and has resolved to retain the t	ndard Instrument zones. T Irther investigations into t ditional residential develop existing development co	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this ntrols.
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : Equacy Assessment Statement of the ob	and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add stage and has resolved to retain the jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes Statement of objectives notes that	ndard Instrument zones. T Irther investigations into t ditional residential develop existing development con	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this ntrols.
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : Equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ot Comment :	and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add stage and has resolved to retain the it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes Statement of objectives notes tha 2013. The proposal is a conversion of t	ndard Instrument zones. T Irther investigations into t ditional residential develop existing development con	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this ntrols.
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : Equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ot Comment :	and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add stage and has resolved to retain the jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes Statement of objectives notes tha 2013. The proposal is a conversion of t development. isions provided - s55(2)(b)	ndard Instrument zones. T Irther investigations into t ditional residential develop existing development con	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this ntrols.
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ot Comment : Explanation of prov	and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add stage and has resolved to retain the jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes Statement of objectives notes tha 2013. The proposal is a conversion of t development. isions provided - s55(2)(b)	ndard Instrument zones. T In the investigations into t ditional residential develop existing development con at the proposal will bring t the existing zones and will the existing zones and will cosal involves mapping cha	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this ntrols.
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : External Supporting Notes : equacy Assessment Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov	and Shellharbour LEP 2000 into Star the SLEP 2013 was made to allow fu land. Council has not supported add stage and has resolved to retain the it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes Statement of objectives notes tha 2013. The proposal is a conversion of t development. isions provided - s55(2)(b) ovisions provided? Yes The proposal introduces standar LEP 2013 was notified. The propo	ndard Instrument zones. T In the investigations into t ditional residential develop existing development con- at the proposal will bring i the existing zones and will the existing zones and will dinstrument controls to is osal involves mapping char ironmental Plan.	This land was deferred when the residential capacity of the pment on the site at this ntrols.

	- floor space ratio ma - lot size map (450m2 - Terrestrial biodiver	nap (9m maximum height); ap (0.5:1 for R2 zoned land); 2 for R2 land & 16ha for E3/RU2 land);
Justification - s55	(2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strat	egy been agreed to by the I	Director General? No
b) S.117 directions id	entified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones
* May need the Direc	tor General's agreement	<ul> <li>1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries</li> <li>1.5 Rural Lands</li> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates</li> <li>3.3 Home Occupations</li> <li>3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies</li> </ul>
Is the Director Ger	neral's agreement required?	No
c) Consistent with Sta	andard Instrument (LEPs) O	rder 2006 : <b>Yes</b>
d) Which SEPPs have	e the RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 200
be considered : Have inconsistencies If No, explain :	with items a), b) and d) bein Section 117 Directi	ng adequately justified? <b>N/A</b>
	with the exception	ied applicable Section 117 Directions and has noted that (possibly of 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection) the proposal is consistent Directions. Directions of particular relevance are discussed below:
	1.2 Rural Zones	
	The Planning Prop	osal rezones rural land to a mix of rural and environmental zones.
		legate may be satisfied the proposal is consistent with this Direction required in relation to this Direction.
	_	um Productions and Extractive Industries s to ensure that future extraction of resources is not compromised by lopment.
		s not increase the development potential of the subject land. The re 'quarry buffer' that currently applies to the land.
		legate may be satisfied the proposal is consistent with this Direction required in relation to this Direction.
		lies when a proposal will affect land within an existing or proposal ntal protection zone.
		nsidered to be consistent with the Rural Planning Principles and Principles in State Environmental Planning Policy (Rural Lands) 2008

and is therefore considered to be consistent with this Direction.

The Secretary's delegate may be satisfied the proposal is consistent with this Direction and no approval is required in relation to this Direction.

2.1 Environment Protection Zones

This Direction requires planning proposals to include provisions that facilitate the protection and conservation of environmentally sensitive areas.

This proposal will apply a biodiversity mapping overlay to land containing EECs within the subject area.

The Secretary's delegate may be satisfied the proposal is consistent with this Direction and no approval is required in relation to this Direction.

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

This Direction requires consultation with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service prior to undertaking community consultation, and must take any comments made by the Commissioner into account.

The Secretary's delegate may be satisfied that the Gateway Determination requirement for consultation with the RFS prior to exhibition will ensure consistency with this Direction.

5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans

This Direction requires a planning proposal to be consistent with the relevant Regional Plan. The Illawarra Shoalhaven Regional Plan covers this area.

The proposal is a conversion of existing zones into standard instrument zones. The proposal is not inconsistent with the vision, goals, actions etc in the Illawarra Shoalhaven Regional Plan.

The Secretary's delegate may be satisfied the proposal is consistent with this Direction and no approval is required in relation to this Direction.

The proposal is considered to be consistent with the other applicable s117 Directions: 2.3 Heritage Conservation

- 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrated Land Use and Transport
- 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements

#### RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Secretary's delegate be satisfied that the proposal is consistent with relevant s117 Directions and no further approval is required.

It is recommended that the Secretary's delegate be satisfied that the proposal will be consistent with 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection following consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) The Planning Proposal is not inconsistent with relevant SEPPs.

## Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment : Mapping clearly identifies the site and the intended zones and planning controls.

### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Council has proposed a 28 day exhibition period. This is considered appropriate.

#### **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

#### **Proposal Assessment**

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation The Shellharbour Local Environmental Plan 2013 was notified in August 2013. to Principal LEP :

### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	The proposal will bring land currently deferred from the Shellharbour LEP 2013 into the LEP.
	A planning proposal is required to achieve this outcome.
	The proposal applies the equivalent standard instrument zone and does not allow additional development. The current 2(e) Residential area will be converted to the R2 Low Density Residential zone. The majority of the site is zoned 1(rl) Rural and will convert to the RU2 Rural Landscape zone.
	The exception to the 'conversion' is the application of the E3 Environmental Management zone to a small area of land in the south/west corner of the site that has been identified as containing EECs. This land is currently zoned 1(a) Rural.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The proposal is consistent with relevant strategic planning framework, including the Illawarra Shoalhaven Regional Plan and s117 Directions.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The proposal will provide for a positive environmental outcome as it applies an environmental zone and biodiversity overlay mapping to land identified as having environmental value.
	The proposal retains the existing area of residential land.
	The proposal will also retain a 'buffer' to a nearby quarry by applying a Mineral Resource Transition Area map to the area identified as 'buffer' by NSW Department of Industry (Resources and Energy).

The subject land is located close to the Illawarra Regional Airport. Council has consulted with the Civil Aviation Authority who has no objection to the proposal.

# **Assessment Process** 28 Days Community Consultation Proposal type 📰 Routine Period : **RPA** Timeframe to make 12 months Delegation : LEP : Office of Environment and Heritage Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) NSW Department of Primary Industries - Minerals and Petroleum . Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. : If Other, provide reasons : Further studies are not required as the proposal essentially translates existing controls. Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
PP 2016 SHELL 003 - Croome Rd - BIO map.pdf	Мар	Yes
PP_2016_SHELL_003 - Croome Rd - Council report.pdf	Proposal	Yes
PP_2016_SHELL_003 - Croome Rd - Council resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
PP_2016_SHELL_003 - Croome Rd - current zone map.pdf	Мар	Yes
PP_2016_SHELL_003 - Croome Rd - FSR map.pdf	Мар	Yes
PP_2016_SHELL_003 - Croome Rd - HOB map.pdf	Мар	Yes
PP 2016 SHELL_003 - Croome Rd - LSZ map.pdf	Мар	Yes
PP 2016 SHELL_003 - Croome Rd - LZN map.pdf	Мар	Yes
PP 2016 SHELL_003 - Croome Rd - MRT map.pdf	Мар	Yes
PP_2016_SHELL_003 - Croome Rd - planning proposal.docx	Proposal	Yes

# Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

240-242 Croome Road C	Croom - bringing deferred land into Shellharbour LEP 2013
S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>1.2 Rural Zones</li> <li>1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries</li> <li>1.5 Rural Lands</li> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates</li> <li>3.3 Home Occupations</li> <li>3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies</li> </ul>
Additional Information	It is RECOMMENDED that the Acting Director Regions, Southern, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Shellharbour Local Environmental Plan 2013 to bring deferred land - being Lot 9 DP 1038941 (240 - 242) Croome Road, Croom - into the Plan and apply the following zones - RU2 Rural Landscape, R2 Low Density Residential and E3 Environmental Management (with appropriate development controls and overlays) to the site should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal is to be made publicly available for 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing local environmental plans (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2013).
	2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:
	*Office of Environment and Heritage *Department of Industry - Resources and Energy *NSW Rural Fire Service
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that it will require additional time to comment on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal.
	Consultation is required with the NSW Rural Fire Service prior to undertaking community consultation in order to satisfy the requirements of s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection.
	3. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS 5. The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the proposal is consistent with relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are minor.
	6. The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection following consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service.

upporting Reasons :	The proposal will bring this site into the 2013 LEP.	
ignature:	Untern, Team Leader	
rinted Name:	GRAHAM TOWERS Date: 30/8/16	